

Lecture 22: The Heron-Rota-Welsh conjecture

(joint w/ Petter Brändén)

Alternative description of a matroid: lattice of flats, $\mathcal{L}(M)$.

(If M is representable by $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^d$, then the flats of M are all sets obtained by intersecting $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ with any subspace of \mathbb{F}^d .)

The characteristic polynomial of M is:

$$\chi_M(x) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{L}(M)} \mu(\hat{0}, F) \cdot x^{\text{rk}(\hat{1}) - \text{rk}(F)},$$

where μ is the Möbius function.

(We will not define μ formally.)

The reduced char. pslun. of M is:

$$\bar{\chi}_M(x) = \frac{\chi_M(x)}{x-1} \quad (\text{when } \text{rk}(M) \geq 1).$$

Lemma: If i is not a loop,

$$\bar{\chi}_m(t) = \sum_{F \ni i} \mu(\hat{0}, F) \cdot t^{\text{rk}(\hat{i}) - \text{rk}(F) - 1}.$$

Goal: Show the absolute values of the coefficients of $\bar{\chi}_m(t)$ form a log-concave sequence.

Definition: Given an open convex cone $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and d -homog. polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we say p is C -Lorentzian if:

- (P) $\forall v_1, \dots, v_d \in C, D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_d} p > 0$
- (Q) $\forall v_1, \dots, v_{d-2} \in C, D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_{d-2}} p$ has associated matrix with exactly one positive eigenvalue.

Lemma: Given C -Lorentzian p and $v, w \in C$, $f(t, s) = p(t \cdot v + s \cdot w)$ has Ultra log-concave coefficients:

$$f(t, s) = \sum_{k=0}^d \binom{d}{k} c_k t^k s^{d-k}$$

$$\text{and } c_k^2 \geq c_{k-1} \cdot c_{k+1} \quad \forall k.$$

Proof: Local 3-term inequalities follow from 1 pos. eval. condition.

Strategy:

Goal \Leftrightarrow

$$f(t, s) = \sum_{F \ni i} \binom{r_k(i)-1}{r_k(F)} |\mu(\hat{0}, F)| s^{r_k(F)} t^{r_k(i)-r_k(F)-1}$$

has ultra logconcave coeff.

(Möbius func. alternates sign)

- 1) Construct C-Lorentzian polynomial p
- 2) Choose $v, w \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ s.t.

$$f(t, s) = p(t \cdot v + s \cdot w).$$

Candidate polynomial

Let E be the ground set of M .

Given $K \subseteq L$, flats in $\mathcal{L}(M)$, define:

$$\mathcal{E}_k^L := \{(y_s)_{k \subset s \subset L} : y_s \in \mathbb{R}\} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2^{|L \setminus k|} - 2}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_k^L := \{\bar{y} \in \mathcal{E}_k^L :$$

$$y_s + y_t = y_{s \cap t} + y_{s \cup t} \quad \forall s, t$$

$$\text{where } y_k, y_L := 0 \}$$

(This is the subspace of modular set functions w/ zero endpoints.)

$$\mathcal{L}_k^L := \{\bar{y} \in \mathcal{E}_k^L :$$

$$y_s + y_t > y_{s \cap t} + y_{s \cup t} \quad \forall s, t \text{ incomparable}$$

$$\text{where } y_k, y_L := 0 \}.$$

(This is the open convex cone of all strictly submodular set functions w/ zero endpoints.)

Note: $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{M}_k^L$ if and only if there are real $(c_e)_{e \in L \setminus k}$ s.t. $\sum_{e \in L \setminus k} c_e = 0$ and

$$y_s = \sum_{e \in s \setminus k} c_e \text{ for all } k \subset s \subset L.$$

Now, given $k \subseteq F \subset G \subseteq L$, all flats in $\mathcal{L}(M)$, define linear maps:

$$\pi_F^G: \Sigma_K^L \rightarrow \Sigma_F^G \quad \text{via}$$

$$\pi_F^G(\bar{x}) = \left(x_S - x_G \cdot \frac{|S|F|}{|G|F|} - x_F \cdot \frac{|G|S|}{|G|F|} \right)_{FCSCG}$$

where $x_K, x_L := 0$.

(Note that π_F^G simply subtracts modular set functions from \bar{x} to enforce $\pi_F^G(\bar{x})$ is 0 at the endpoints F and G .)

$$\text{Thus, } \pi_F^G(\mathcal{M}_K^L) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_F^G \text{ and}$$

$$\pi_F^G(\mathcal{L}_K^L) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_F^G.$$

Definition: Given $K < L$, flats in $\mathcal{L}(M)$,

define $r(K, L) = \text{rk}(L) - \text{rk}(K)$ and

$d(K, L) = r(K, L) - 1$. We define

$\text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x})$ on Σ_K^L recursively as follows:

1) If $d(K, L) = 0$, then $\text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x}) = 1$,

2) If $d(K, L) \geq 1$, then

$$d(K, L) \cdot \text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x}) = \sum_{K \subsetneq F \subsetneq L} x_F \cdot \text{pol}_K^F(\pi_K^F(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\bar{x})).$$

(Notice: $d(K, L) = \deg(\text{pol}_K^L)$, and pol_K^L actually only depends on $t_F, F \in \mathcal{L}(M)$.)

E.g.: If $d(K, L) = 1 \Leftrightarrow r(K, L) = 2$, then

$$1 \cdot \text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x}) = \sum_{K \prec F \prec L} t_F \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = \sum_{K \prec F \prec L} t_F.$$

E.g.: If $d(K, L) = 2 \Leftrightarrow r(K, L) = 3$, then

$$2 \cdot \text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x}) = \sum_{K \prec F} t_F \cdot 1 \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\bar{x})) + \sum_{G \prec L} t_G \cdot \text{pol}_K^G(\pi_K^G(\bar{x})) \cdot 1$$

$$\pi_F^L(\bar{x}) = \left(t_G - t_F \cdot \frac{|L \setminus G|}{|L \setminus F|} \right)_{F \prec G \prec L}$$

$$\pi_K^G(\bar{x}) = \left(t_F - t_G \cdot \frac{|F \setminus K|}{|G \setminus K|} \right)_{K \prec F \prec G}$$

$$\rightarrow = \sum_{K \prec F} t_F \cdot \sum_{F \prec G \prec L} \left(t_G - t_F \cdot \frac{|L \setminus G|}{|L \setminus F|} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{G \prec L} t_G \cdot \sum_{K \prec F \prec G} \left(t_F - t_G \cdot \frac{|F \setminus K|}{|G \setminus K|} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{K \prec F \prec G \prec L} \left(2 t_F t_G - t_F^2 \cdot \frac{|L \setminus G|}{|L \setminus F|} - t_G^2 \cdot \frac{|F \setminus K|}{|G \setminus K|} \right)$$

Step 1 Plan: Prove that $\text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x})$ is \mathcal{C}_K^L -Lorentzian by induction.

Properties of E_K^L, M_K^L, C_K^L :

- 1) M_K^L is the lineality space of C_K^L .
- 2) C_K^L is effective (i.e., given any strictly submodular $y \in C_K^L$, there exists modular $w \in M_K^L$ s.t. $y+w$ has strictly pos. entries)
- 3) If $K \subseteq F \subseteq G \subseteq L$, then

$$\pi_F^G(M_K^L) \subseteq M_F^G, \pi_F^G(C_K^L) \subseteq C_F^G$$

4) If $K \subseteq A \subseteq F \subseteq G \subseteq B \subseteq L$, then

$$\pi_F^G(\pi_A^B(\bar{x})) = \pi_F^G(\bar{x})$$

Pf.: Fix $S, F \subseteq S \subseteq G$

$$\pi_A^B(\bar{x}) = \left(x_S - x_B \cdot \frac{|S|A|}{|B|A|} - x_A \cdot \frac{|B|S|}{|B|A|} \right)_S =: \bar{x}'$$

$$\pi_F^G(\bar{x}') = \left(x'_S - x'_G \cdot \frac{|S|F|}{|G|F|} - x'_F \cdot \frac{|G|S|}{|G|F|} \right)_S$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \hookrightarrow \left(x_S - x_B \cdot \frac{|S|A|}{|B|A|} - x_A \cdot \frac{|B|S|}{|B|A|} \right) \\ & - \left(x_G - x_B \cdot \frac{|G|A|}{|B|A|} - x_A \cdot \frac{|B|G|}{|B|A|} \right) \cdot \frac{|S|F|}{|G|F|} \\ & - \left(x_F - x_B \cdot \frac{|F|A|}{|B|A|} - x_A \cdot \frac{|B|F|}{|B|A|} \right) \cdot \frac{|G|S|}{|G|F|} \end{aligned}$$

To show: $\frac{|G|A| \cdot |S|F| + |F|A| \cdot |G|S|}{|G|F|} = |S|A|$

(and similar for t_A)

$$A = \frac{(|G|F| + |F|A|)(|G|F| - |G|S|) + |F|A| \cdot |G|S|}{|G|F|}$$

$$= |G|F| + |F|A| - |G|S| = |G|A| - |G|S| = |S|A| \quad \checkmark$$

Properties of $\text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x})$: □

Lemma: $\exists f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is d -homogeneous,

and $d \cdot f(\bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot Q_i(\bar{x})$, Q_i $(d-1)$ -homog.

If $\partial_{x_i} Q_j = \partial_{x_j} Q_i \quad \forall i, j$, then $Q_i = \partial_{x_i} f$, $\forall i$.

Pf: Euler's identity $\Rightarrow d \cdot \partial_{x_j} f$

$$= Q_j + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \partial_{x_j} Q_i = Q_j + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \partial_{x_i} Q_j$$

$$= Q_j + (d-1)Q_j = d \cdot Q_j \quad \square$$

Lemma: If $K < F < L$, then

$$\partial_{x_F} \text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x}) = \text{pol}_K^F(\pi_K^F(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\bar{x})).$$

Pf: We first prove if $F, G \in \mathcal{L}(M)$

are incomparable, then $\partial_{x_F} \partial_{x_G} \text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x})$

by induction on $d = d(K, L)$.

First note that

$$\text{pol}_K^F(\pi_K^F(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\bar{x}))$$

does not depend on x_G .

$$\text{Thus, } \partial_{x_F} \partial_{x_G} [d \cdot \text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x})]$$

$$= \sum_{K \subset S \subset G} x_S \cdot \partial_{x_F} \partial_{x_G} [\text{pol}_K^S(\pi_K^S(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_S^L(\pi_S^L(\bar{x}))]$$

$$= 0, \text{ by induction.} \quad \begin{matrix} (d=1 \text{ clear}) \\ \downarrow \end{matrix}$$

We now prove the claim by induction on d . Def $Q_F(\bar{x}) = \text{pol}_K^F(\pi_K^F(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\bar{x}))$,

for any $G < F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_G} Q_F(\bar{x}) &= \text{pol}_K^G(\pi_K^G(\pi_K^F(\bar{x}))) \\ &\quad \cdot \text{pol}_G^F(\pi_G^F(\pi_K^F(\bar{x}))) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\bar{x})) \\ &= \text{pol}_K^G(\pi_K^G(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_G^F(\pi_G^F(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\bar{x})). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\partial_{x_G} Q_F(\bar{x}) = \partial_{x_F} Q_G(\bar{x})$, and the claim follows from the previous lemma.

Lemma: $\forall y \in \Sigma_K^L, \ell \in \mathcal{M}_K^L,$

$$\text{pol}_K^L(y + \ell) = \text{pol}_K^L(y)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow D_\ell \text{pol}_K^L(\bar{x}) \equiv 0 \quad \forall \ell \in \mathcal{M}_K^L.$$

Proof: By induction on $d = d(K, L)$.

If $d = 1$, we have

$$\text{pol}_K^L(l) = \sum_{K \triangleleft F \triangleleft L} l_F = \sum_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{modular}}} \sum_{e \in F|K} c_e$$

$$\text{where } \sum_{e \in L|K} c_e = 0.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \text{pol}_K^L(l) = \sum_{e \in L|K} \sum_{F \ni e} c_e.$$

Since $F|K$ partitions $L|K$,

$$= \sum_{e \in L|K} c_e = 0.$$

For $d > 1$, $D_e \text{pol}_K^L(y) \cong D_e D_y \text{pol}_K^L(y)$

by homogeneity, and by prev. lemma,

$$D_e D_y \text{pol}_K^L(y) = D_e \sum_{\substack{K \triangleleft F \triangleleft L \\ F \ni y}} y_e \cdot \text{pol}_K^F(\pi_K^F(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\bar{x}))$$

Product rule, induction, and $\pi_K^F(M_K^L) \in M_K^F$

imply $D_e D_y \text{pol}_K^L(y) = 0$.

Thus, $D_e \text{pol}_K^L \equiv 0$. \square

Lemma: $\forall v_1, \dots, v_d \in \mathcal{E}_k^L$,

$$D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_d} \text{pol}_k^L > 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$\nabla^2 [D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_{d-2}} \text{pol}_k^L]$ has non-neg. off-diag. entries.

Proof: By induction on d .

By previous Lemma and effectiveness of \mathcal{E}_k^L , we may assume that v_d has strictly positive entries. Thus,

$$D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_d} \text{pol}_k^L(\bar{x}) =$$

$$\sum_{K \subset F \subset L} (v_d)_F D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_{d-1}} [\text{pol}_K^F(\pi_K^F(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\bar{x}))]$$

Note that $D_{v_d} \text{pol}_k^F(\pi_k^F(\bar{x}))$

$$= [D_{\pi_k^F(v_d)} \text{pol}_k^F](\pi_k^F(\bar{x})).$$

Since $\pi_k^F(\mathcal{E}_k^L) \subseteq \mathcal{E}_k^F$, induction implies

$D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_d} \text{pol}_k^L(\bar{x})$ is a sum of

positive numbers. For the Hessian,

$K \subset F \subset G \subset L$ implies:

$$\partial_{x_F} \partial_{x_G} \text{pol}_k^L(\bar{x}) = \text{pol}_K^F(\pi_K^F(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_F^G(\pi_F^G(\bar{x})) \cdot \text{pol}_G^L(\pi_G^L(\bar{x}))$$

and the same argument works.

If F, G incomparable, then

$$\partial_{x_F} \partial_{x_G} \text{pol}_k^L(\bar{x}) \equiv 0, \text{ as above. } \square$$

Next step: Prove that

$\text{pol}_k^L(\bar{x})$ is \mathcal{C}_k^L -Lorentzian.

Lecture 23: Leron-Rota-Welsh
continued. [SIDE BOARD]

Last time: Matroid M with

lattice of flats $\mathcal{L}(M)$. Collection of
polynomials pol_K^L for $K < L$ in $\mathcal{L}(M)$:

$$d(K, L) = 0 \rightarrow pol_K^L(x) = 1$$

$$d(K, L) \geq 1 \rightarrow$$

$$d(K, L) \cdot pol_K^L(x) = \sum_{K < F < L} t_F \cdot pol_K^F(\pi_K^F(x)) \cdot pol_F^L(\pi_F^L(x))$$

polynomials defined on

$$\Sigma_K^L := \{ (y_S)_{K \subseteq S \subseteq L} : y_S \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

and to prove Σ_K^L -Lorentzian where

$$\mathcal{C}_K^L := \{ y \in \Sigma_K^L :$$

$$y_S + y_T > y_{S \cap T} + y_{S \cup T}, \quad S, T \text{ incomparable}$$

$$y_K = y_L = 0 \} \text{ is open, convex cone}$$

with (reality space

$$\mathcal{M}_K^L := \{ y \in \Sigma_K^L :$$

$$y_S + y_T = y_{S \cap T} + y_{S \cup T} \quad \forall S, T,$$

$$y_K = y_L = 0 \}.$$

Properties of $\text{pol}_\mu^L(t)$ and π_μ^L :

$$1) \partial_t \text{pol}_\mu^L(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if not } K < F < L \\ \text{pol}_\mu^F(\pi_\mu^F(t)) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(t)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2) If $K \leq F < G \leq L$, then

$$\pi_F^G(\mathcal{C}_\mu^L) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_F^G \text{ and } \pi_F^G(\mathcal{M}_\mu^L) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_F^G$$

3) If $K \leq A \leq F < G \leq B \leq L$, then

$$(\pi_F^G \circ \pi_A^B)(t) = \pi_F^G(t) \quad \forall t \in \Sigma_K^L$$

$$4) \text{pol}_\mu^L(t+w) = \text{pol}_\mu^L(t) \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{M}_\mu^L$$

5) $\forall y \in \mathcal{C}_\mu^L \exists w \in \mathcal{M}_\mu^L$ s.t. $y+w$ has strictly pos. entries

$$6) D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_d} \text{pol}_\mu^L(t) > 0 \quad \forall v_1, \dots, v_d \in \mathcal{C}_\mu^L$$

$$7) \partial_t \partial_t \dots \partial_t D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_{d-2}} \text{pol}_\mu^L(t) \geq 0 \quad \forall v_1, \dots, v_{d-2} \in \mathcal{C}_\mu^L$$

with equality iff neither $K < F < G < L$
nor $K < G < F < L$ holds.

Goal:

1) Prove $\text{pol}_\mu^L(t)$ is \mathcal{C}_μ^L -Lorentzian

2) Determine $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_\mu^L}$ s.t.

$\text{pol}_\mu^L(x \cdot \alpha + y \cdot \beta)$ gives coeff. of $\bar{\chi}_\mu(t)$

Problem: When $C = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$, there is a very useful combinatorial characterization of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ -Lorentzian polynomials, but not in general.

Definition of C -Lorentzian too unwieldy: need some useful theory.)

Recall: Lemma: Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be d -homogeneous with $d \geq 3$, and fix $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$. If:

(1) $\partial_i p(x) > 0$ for all i ,

(2) the Hessian of $\partial_{x_i} p$ at x has exactly one pos. eigenvalue,

(3) the Hessian of p at x is irreducible w/ non-neg. off-diag. entries,

then the Hessian of p at x has exactly one pos. eigenvalue.

Proof:

(1) "Bochner method" implies
Hessian satisfies quadratic
matrix inequality \Rightarrow no
eigenvalues in $(0, \delta)$

(2) Eigenvector w/ strictly
positive entries w/ eigenvalue
 $\delta \Rightarrow$ Exactly one positive
eigenvalue by Perron-Frobenius.

(Want to use this to prove
some inductive theorem for
 \mathbb{C} -Lorentzian, but what to do
about $x > 0$?)

(Given open convex cone, C , its
lineality space is $L_C := \overline{C} \cap \overline{-C}$
(i.e. largest linear subspace in \overline{C} .)

Definition: An open convex cone

C is effective if $C = C \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n + LC$
(i.e., $\forall y \in C, \exists x \in C \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n, l \in LC$ s.t.
 $y = x + l$).

Theorem: Let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be d -homog.
with $d \geq 3$, and let C be an open,
convex, and effective cone in \mathbb{R}^n . If:

(1) $p(x+w) = p(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, w \in LC,$

(2) $D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_d} p > 0, \forall v_1, \dots, v_d \in C,$

(3) the Hessian of $D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_{d-2}} p$ is
irreducible w/ non-negative
off-diagonal entries, $\forall v_1, \dots, v_{d-2} \in C,$

(4) $\partial_{x_i} p$ is C -Lorentzian, $\forall i,$

then p is C -Lorentzian.

Proof: Fix $v_1, \dots, v_{d-3} \in C$ and consider

the cubic $q := D_{v_1} \dots D_{v_{d-3}} p$. Since

$\partial_{x_i} p$ is C -Lorentzian, then so is

$\partial_{x_i} q$ by definition. Fix $y \in C$. By (3),
 $\nabla^2 q(y) \cong \nabla^2 D_y q$ is irreducible
 with non-negative off-diag. entries.

Since

$$q(x) = \partial_{x_1} \cdots \partial_{x_{d-3}} \Big|_{\vec{x}=0} P \left(x + \sum_{i=1}^{d-3} x_i v_i \right),$$

it follows from (1) that
 $q(x+w) = q(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $w \in L_C$.

Thus we may assume WLOG that
 $y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ (by translating by $w \in L_C$)
 by effectiveness of C . Therefore
 by the Bochner Lemma, the Hessian
 of q at y has exactly one positive
 eigenvalue. Since

$$\nabla^2 q(y) = \nabla^2 [D_{v_1} \cdots D_{v_{d-3}} P](y) \cong \nabla^2 [D_{v_1} \cdots D_{v_3} D_y P],$$

this implies p is C -Lorentzian. \square

Want to apply this to $p_{\mu}^L(t)$

(1) ✓

(2) ✓

(3) non-neg. off-diag. entries ✓

irreducible? means the graph formed by positive off-diag. entries should be connected

\hookrightarrow vertices are flats and

$F \vee G$ if F, G comparable

\hookrightarrow follows from "semimodularity":

if $a, b \geq a \wedge b$, then $a \vee b \geq a, b$

(4) follows by induction, since

C -Lorentzian is preserved under taking products, and since

$$\pi_F^G(\mathcal{C}_\mu^L) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\mu^G \text{ and } \pi_F^G(\mathcal{M}_\mu^L) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_\mu^G$$

Base case: Write quadratic $p_{\mu}^L(t)$ explicitly as rank-one minus PSD.

Therefore: $\text{pol}_K^L(t)$ is \mathcal{E} -Lorentzian
for all $K < L$ in $\mathcal{L}(M)$.

Next: Find $\alpha_K^L, \beta_K^L \in \overline{\mathcal{E}}_K^L$ s.t.

$$\text{pol}_K^L(\alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y) =$$

$$\sum_{F \ni i} \binom{d(K,L)}{\Gamma(K,F)} |\mu(K,F)| s^{\Gamma(K,F)} t^{d(F,L)}$$

Define: ("almost" submodular; no zero endpoints)

$$\alpha_K^L = \left(\frac{|S \setminus K|}{|L \setminus K|} \right)_{K \subset S \subset L} \quad \beta_K^L = \left(\frac{|L \setminus S|}{|L \setminus K|} \right)_{K \subset S \subset L}$$

and, given $i \in L \setminus K$, define

$$\alpha_{K,i}^L = (a_s)_{K \subset S \subset L} \quad \beta_{K,i}^L = (b_s)_{K \subset S \subset L}$$

$$\text{where } a_s = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in S \\ 0, & i \notin S \end{cases}, \quad b_s = \begin{cases} 1, & i \notin S \\ 0, & i \in S \end{cases}$$

Facts:

- $\alpha_K^L - \alpha_{K,i}^L \in \mathcal{M}_K^L$, $\beta_K^L - \beta_{K,i}^L \in \mathcal{M}_K^L$

- $K < F < L \Rightarrow$

$$\pi_K^F(\alpha_K^L) = 0, \pi_F^L(\alpha_K^L) = \alpha_F^L, \pi_K^F(\beta_K^L) = \beta_K^F, \pi_F^L(\beta_K^L) = 0$$

(Nice setup for induction.)

Lemma: $\text{pol}_K^L(\alpha_K^L) = \frac{1}{d(K,L)!}$ for $K \prec L$

Proof: Fix $i \in L \setminus K$. Then $d(K,L) \cdot \text{pol}_K^L(\alpha_K^L)$

$$= \sum_{K \prec F \prec L} a_F \cdot \text{pol}_K^F(\pi_K^F(\alpha_K^L)) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\pi_F^L(\alpha_K^L))$$

$$= \sum_{K \prec F \prec L} a_F \cdot \text{pol}_K^F(0) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(\alpha_F^L)$$

$$= \sum_{K \prec F \prec L} a_F \cdot \frac{1}{(d(K,L)-1)!} \quad \text{by induction,}$$

since $\text{pol}_K^F(0) \neq 0$ iff $d(K,F) = 0$.

Since all $F \in \mathcal{Q}(M)$ s.t. $K \prec F \prec L$

partitions $L \setminus K$, we have

$$d(K,L) \cdot \text{pol}_K^L(\alpha_K^L) = \frac{1}{(d(K,L)-1)!}. \quad \square$$

(What about $\text{pol}_K^L(\beta_K^L)$?)

Theorem (Weisner): If $K \prec F \prec L$,

$$\text{then } \mu(K,L) = - \sum_{\substack{K \prec G \prec L \\ F \prec G}} \mu(K,G).$$

(implies μ alternates in sign w.r.t. rank)

Lemma: $\text{pol}_K^L(\beta_K^L) = \frac{|\mu(K,L)|}{d(K,L)!}$ for $K < L$.

Proof: Fix $i \in L \setminus K$. Then $d(K,L) \cdot \text{pol}_K^L(\beta_K^L)$

$$= \sum_{K < F < L} b_F \cdot \text{pol}_K^F(\beta_K^F) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(0)$$

$$= \sum_{K < F < L} b_F \cdot \frac{|\mu(K,F)|}{(d(K,L)-1)!} \cdot 1 \quad \text{by induction}$$

since $\text{pol}_F^L(0) \neq 0$ iff $d(F,L) = 0$.

$$= \frac{1}{(d(K,L)-1)!} \sum_{\substack{K < F < L \\ i \in F}} |\mu(K,F)|$$

Apply Weisner's theorem with $K < \text{span}(\{i\}) < L$ to obtain

$$= \frac{1}{(d(K,L)-1)!} |\mu(K,L)|,$$

since μ alternates in sign w.r.t. rank.

□

Theorem: If $i \in L \setminus K$, then $\text{pol}_K^L(y \cdot \alpha_K^L + x \cdot \beta_K^L)$

$$= \frac{1}{d(K,L)!} \cdot \sum_{F \ni i} \binom{d(K,L)}{r(K,F)} |\mu(K,F)| \cdot x^{r(K,F)} \cdot y^{d(F,L)}.$$

Proof: First we compute

$$\partial_x \text{pol}_K^L(y \cdot \alpha_K^L + x \cdot \beta_K^L) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (D_{\beta_K^L} \text{pol}_K^L)(y \cdot \alpha_K^L + x \cdot \beta_K^L) \\
&= \sum_{K \subset F \subset L} b_F \cdot \text{pol}_K^F(x \cdot \beta_K^F) \cdot \text{pol}_F^L(y \cdot \alpha_F^L) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{K \subset F \subset L \\ i \in F}} \frac{|\mu(K, F)| x^{d(K, F)}}{d(K, F)!} \cdot \frac{y^{d(F, L)}}{d(F, L)}
\end{aligned}$$

Note further that

$$\text{pol}_K^L(y \cdot \alpha_K^L) = \frac{y^{d(K, L)}}{d(K, L)!}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Thus, } \text{pol}_K^L(y \cdot \alpha_K^L + x \cdot \beta_K^L) \\
&= \frac{1}{d(K, L)!} \sum_{F \ni i} \binom{d(K, L)}{r(K, F)} \cdot |\mu(K, F)| \cdot x^{r(K, F)} y^{d(F, L)} \\
&\text{since } \mu(K, K) = 1. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Since pol_K^L is \mathcal{E}_K^L -Lorentzian and $\alpha_K^L, \beta_K^L \in \overline{\mathcal{E}_K^L}$, the coefficients of $\text{pol}_K^L(y \cdot \alpha_K^L + x \cdot \beta_K^L)$ are ultra

log-concave. Thus, the coefficients of

$$\overline{\chi}_M(t) = \sum_{F \ni i} \mu(K, F) \cdot t^{r(K, L) - r(K, F) - 1}$$

form an ultra log-concave sequence.

This implies the Heron-Rota-Welsh Conjecture.