HW #3

Jonathan Leake

1 Non-homogeneous polynomials and capacity bounds

We first define a non-homogeneous version of Lorentzian polynomials.

Definition 1.1. Given $p \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, we say p is **completely/strongly log-concave** if for all $k \geq 0$ and all $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ we have that $D_{v_1} \cdots D_{v_k} p$ is log-concave (or identically zero) in the strict positive orthant.

Recall we define a linear operator N on polynomials via $N[\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}] = \frac{x_1^{\kappa_1} \cdots x_n^{\kappa_n}}{x_1! \cdots \kappa_n!}$.

Definition 1.2. Given $p \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, we say p is **DL** if N[p] is completely log-concave.

Note that by the previous homework, Lorentzian polynomials are completely/strongly log-concave, and DL polynomials in the original homogeneous sense are DL.

1.1 Exercises

- 1. Prove that given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n \times m}$, if p is completely log-concave then so is p(Ax).
- 2. Let $p(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} p_k(\boldsymbol{x})$ be such that p_k is k-homogeneous. Prove that p is completely log-concave if and only if

$$q(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{d} \frac{y^{d-k}}{(d-k)!} \cdot p_k(\boldsymbol{x})$$

is completely log-concave. Note that this is equivalent to saying that p is DL if and only if its homogenization is DL.

- 3. Given an example of a completely log-concave polynomial such that its homogenization is not completely log-concave.
- 4. Finish the proof started in class that the operation $p(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto p(x_1, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ preserves DL. (Note that by the above exercises, you may WLOG assume p is homogeneous DL.) Show that this implies that DL is preserved under products, even in the non-homogeneous case. (**Hint:** Recall the proof in the homogeneous case from the lecture.)
- 5. Using the previous exercises and results from the lecture, prove that completely log-concave polynomials are closed under taking products.
- 6. Finish the proof started in class that the homogeneous independent set generating polynomial of a matroid M,

$$q_M(\boldsymbol{x}, y) := \sum_{S \in \mathcal{I}(M)} \boldsymbol{x}^S y^{n-|S|}$$

is Lorentzian. Here, $\mathcal{I}(M)$ is the set of all independent sets of M and n is the size of the ground set of M (i.e., the number of x variables). (Note that we did not have to divide by factorials here when homogenizing. This is actually a bit of a mystery, since in general the factorials are required.)

7. Suppose $p(x, z) = axz + bx + cz + d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[x, z]$ is completely log-concave. Prove that for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$b+c \ge \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{1-\alpha}}{1+\alpha(1-\alpha)} \cdot \operatorname{Cap}_{(\alpha,1-\alpha)}(p).$$

(**Hint:** Follow the proof of the analogous result for real stable p from the lecture notes, altering the proof where needed.)

8. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be multiaffine completely log-concave polynomials. Prove that for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]^n$ we have

$$\langle p,q \rangle^{\mathbf{1}} \geq \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} (1-\alpha_{i})^{1-\alpha_{i}}}{1+\alpha_{i}(1-\alpha_{i})} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Cap}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(p) \operatorname{Cap}_{\mathbf{1-\alpha}}(q).$$

Note that $1 + t(1 - t) \le e^t$, so that this bound differs from the real stable bound by at most a simply exponential factor.

- 9. Prove a linear preservers theorem for completely log-concave polynomials, and using the previous exercise, prove a capacity bounds theorem for linear preservers of completely log-concave polynomials. (Hint: The proofs should be very similar to the real stable case.)
- 10. Generalize the previous exercises to non-multiaffine polynomials, if possible. (Note: I have not actually done this myself, and I am not 100% sure it is possible. But I think it should be straightforward.)
- 11. Gurvits' conjecture (currently open): Given d-homogeneous real stable (or even completely logconcave possibly) polynomials $p, q \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and any $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{\alpha}\|_1 = d$, show (or find a counterexample) that

$$\sum_{\|\boldsymbol{\kappa}\|_1=d} {\binom{d}{\boldsymbol{\kappa}}}^{-1} p_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} q_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}} \ge \frac{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{d^d} \operatorname{Cap}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(p) \operatorname{Cap}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(q).$$

Here, $\binom{d}{\kappa}$ denotes the multinomial coefficient, and the left-hand side of the inequality is the unique (up to scalar) SU_n-invariant inner product on polynomials. (Note: In the case that $q(x) = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ with d = n, the left-hand side is $\frac{p_1}{d!}$ and for $\alpha = 1$ the right-hand side is $\frac{1}{d^d} \operatorname{Cap}_{\alpha}(p)$, so that this recovers Gurvits' theorem for the permanent.)